ALABAMA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	Estimate
03 Horseshoe Bend NMP	385,000	395,000	11,000	0	406,000
04 Little River Canyon Natl Preserve	803,000	816,000	18,000	85,000	919,000
05 Natchez Trace NST	26,000	26,000	0	0	26,000
05 Natchez Trace Pkwy,					
Brices Xrds, Tupelo NB	8,029,000	9,318,000	191,000	0	9,509,000
05 Russell Cave NM	350,000	355,000	7,000	0	362,000
03 Tuskegee Airmen NHS	0	236,000	0	0	236,000
03 Tuskegee Institute NHS	680,000	695,000	19,000	0	714,000
Brices Xrds, Tupelo NB 05 Russell Cave NM 03 Tuskegee Airmen NHS	350,000 0	355,000 236,000	7,000 0	0	362,00 236,00

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

ALABAMA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectSelma to Montgomery NHTOngoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$807

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,190

FLORIDA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	Changes	Estimate
* Big Cypress National Preserve	4,749,000	5,224,000	77,000	0	5,301,000
20 Biscayne NP	3,056,000	3,435,000	45,000	0	3,480,000
04,15 Canaveral NS	2,106,000	2,172,000	69,000	0	2,241,000
04 Castillo de San Marcos NM					
& Ft Matanzas NM	1,248,000	1,382,000	40,000	0	1,422,000
13 De Soto NMem	461,000	469,000	10,000	0	479,000
20 Dry Tortugas NP	1,028,000	1,293,000	9,000	0	1,302,000
14,20 Everglades NP	13,172,000	13,437,000	236,000	0	13,673,000
03,04 Fort Caroline NMem &					
Timucuan Ecol & Hist Preserve	1,732,000	1,834,000	38,000	0	1,872,000
01 Gulf Islands NS	4,866,000	5,660,000	147,000	0	5,807,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Big Cypress National Preserve include 14, 17 and 20.

FLORIDA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park Area	Type of Project
Big Cypress NPres	Ongoing Project
Biscayne NP	Ongoing Project
Castillo de San Marcos NM	Potential New Start
Everglades NP	Ongoing Project
Fort King	Ongoing Study
Gullah Culture	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Grant to State of Florida	to be determined	\$15,000
Modified Water Delivery Project	to be determined	\$20,000
Gulf Islands NS	365 acres	\$2,000
Timucuan Ecol & Historic Pres	260 acres	\$1,000

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	Type of Project	<u>Funds</u>
Big Cypress National Preserve	Rehab off-road vehicle trails	\$5,500
Biscayne NP	Investigate and stabilize Stiltsville structures	\$2,000
Everglades NP	Modify water delivery system	\$190,890
Everglades NP	Improve Flamingo wastewater system	\$4,192

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$984

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$14,936

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Grant to State of Florida

Location: South Florida

State/County/Congressional District: State of Florida/Multiple Counties and Congressional Districts

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: N/A

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	TBD	\$15,000,000
Future Funding Need	TBD	TBD

<u>Description</u>: The funds will provide assistance to the State of Florida to purchase land located within the Everglades ecosystem, which are outside of the National Park System, as part of a partnership to assist in Everglades restoration efforts. Using Federal and State funds, the State will acquire lands in the East Coast Buffer and Water Preserve Areas. These parcels comprise areas directly east and adjacent to existing Water Conservation Areas, the transition lands, and other high priorities in the ecosystem, including those lands that are needed to implement project features associated with the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, as authorized by section 601 of Public Law 106-541.

<u>Natural/Cultural/Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The most critical physical constraint in restoring the Everglades is a shortage for water storage areas. During the past 50 years, flood control has been provided by a network of canals, which quickly drained and released stormwater into the "tide." The system has proven so successful that a region that receives an annual average rainfall of over 50 inches a year is now facing a projected water supply crisis in dry years.

<u>Threat</u>: Current plans call for acquisition of a lineal water preserve area along the eastern side of the Everglades. This would capture water currently discharged to tide; store and treat it for release for environmental and urban needs, and serve as a buffer for the Everglades from western development.

<u>Need</u>: The efforts funded through the FY 2002 budget request will continue this important land acquisition partnership with the State of Florida. This partnership was funded initially through the \$200 million appropriated to the Department as part of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (Farm Bill), Public Law 104-127, as well as funds provided through the Land and Water Conservation Fund in fiscal years 1998 through 2001.

The \$15 million requested would be utilized under cost-share terms that require the State of Florida to match the Federal share.

THIS REQUEST IS PART OF THE EVERGLADES RESTORATION EFFORT.

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Modified Water Delivery Project (MWD)

Location: South Florida

State/County/Congressional Districts
State of Florida/Multiple Counties and Congressional Districts

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: N/A

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	TBD	\$20,000,000
Future Funding Need	TBD	TBD

Improvements: Mixed residential and agricultural.

Description: The Modified Water Deliveries Project involves construction of modifications to the Central and Southern Florida Project water management system and related operational changes to provide improved water deliveries to the Everglades National Park. The project includes water control structures to restore more natural hydrologic conditions within the park and a flood mitigation system to protect residential areas. This project involves acquisition and construction of modifications and related operational changes to the water management system to provide improved water deliveries to the Everglades National Park. The completion of this project is a requirement of certain components of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP), as authorized by section 601 of Public Law 106-541. In addition, in 1999 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service released a Final Biological Opinion on the modified water project, indicating that implementation of the MWD project by the end of 2003 is necessary to resolve the jeopardy issue related to the Cape Sable seaside sparrow.

The total Modified Water Delivery project cost for FY 2002 is \$60,900,000. Of this amount \$23,800,000 is available in prior year funding. We are requesting \$20,000,000 in Land and Water Conservation Fund funding for FY 2002 for land acquisition in South Florida and \$19,119,000 in construction to continue this important project. The use of funds from both the Construction and Land Acquisition accounts for the MWD project is authorized by section 104 of the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The Everglades ecosystem is a unique network of over 18,000 square miles of land and water, encompassing a wide variety of habitats that are home to thousands of species of plants and animals, including the endangered Cape Sable seaside sparrow.

<u>Threat</u>: The unique habitat of the Everglades National Park is threatened by the disruption of the natural flow of water within the Everglades ecosystem. If the engineered system is not modified, the damaging effects of the current water system on the plant and animal species in the Everglades will continue, particularly for the endangered wood stork and the Cape Sable seaside sparrow, as well as a wide range of marine species in Florida Bay.

Need: The \$20 million request would allow the Corps of Engineers to acquire land in FY 2002 for components of the MWD project. The completion of the Modified Water Delivery project and the implementation of CERP are high priorities of the Department. The project enjoys the overall support of the Army, South Florida Water Management District, many in the environmental community and other involved parties. The Army Corps of Engineers and the South Florida Task Force have solicited public input and facilitated public workshops in order to provide local groups information on the alternatives as they were developed and to allow the public to provide feedback to the decision makers. The Department is currently reviewing the details of the project in order to ensure that all necessary steps will be taken to protect the environment while engaging the local community in the decision-making process. The Department is committed to working with landowners throughout the implementation of the project.

THIS REQUEST IS PART OF THE EVERGLADES RESTORATION EFFORT.

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Gulf Islands National Seashore

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 15

Location: Vicinity of Gulf Breeze, Florida, and Ocean Springs, Mississippi

States/Counties/Congressional Districts:

State of Florida/Escambia, Okaloosa and Santa Rosa Counties/Congressional District No. 1

State of Mississippi/Harrison and Jackson Counties/Congressional District No. 5

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: Public Law 106-554 authorized the appropriation of sums necessary to acquire land and submerged land on and adjacent to Cat Island, Mississippi.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	365	\$2,000,000
Future Funding Need	929	\$3,000,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: Gulf Islands National Seashore was authorized on January 8, 1971, to preserve for public use and enjoyment certain areas of the Gulf Coast islands and mainland, possessing outstanding natural, historic, and recreational values. The seashore's land protection plan assigns highest priority to the acquisition of tracts on Horn Island designated as potential wilderness additions. Public Law 106-554 authorized the acquisition, from willing sellers only, of lands and interests comprising the 2,000-acre Cat Island in the State of Mississippi. Lands and interests so acquired are to be included within the boundary of Gulf Islands National Seashore.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: Offshore islands have sparkling white sand beaches, historic forts, and nature trails. Mainland features of this unit, which is located near Pensacola, include the Naval Live Oaks Reservation, beaches, and military forts.

<u>Threat</u>: Acquisition and protection of these barrier islands is necessary to prevent recreational and residential development that would threaten the resources of the national seashore.

<u>Need</u>: For fiscal year 2002, \$2,000,000 are needed to acquire four tracts totaling 365 acres at the national seashore. The funds will be used (1) to continue acquisition of Cat Island with the acquisition of a 140-acre tract, and (2) to acquire the remaining interest in three tracts totaling 225 acres on Horn Island within the national seashore boundary. The United States presently owns an undivided half interest in the Horn Island tracts. If the owner of the remaining interest does not sell to the United States, partition and development of the property is likely to occur. The acquisition of the Cat Island property will be phased over several years. For fiscal year 2001, \$4,000,000 was appropriated to commence acquisition of the property.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The owners of these properties are willing sellers. Negotiations to purchase the Cat Island tract have been ongoing for over a year. The Service has recently ordered an appraisal of Cat Island and has obtained an appraisal of the Horn Island interests to be acquired. The appraisal is scheduled for review by the Service's Washington Office in the March 2001.

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Timucuan Ecological and Historic Preserve

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 18

Location: In the St. Johns River Valley of Florida

State/County/Congressional District: State of Florida/Duval County/Congressional District No. 3

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	260	\$1,000,000
Future Funding Need	13,745	\$7,000,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Some residential and commercial.

<u>Description</u>: The Act of February 16, 1988 established Timucuan Ecological and Historic Preserve and authorized the appropriation of funds necessary for land acquisition. The act directed, however, that no lands other than wetlands or interests therein be acquired without the consent of the owner. The preserve contains 46,019 acres of which 21,339 acres are privately owned. Approximately 14,106 privately owned acres have been identified for acquisition.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: Named for the American Indians who lived here for more than 3,000 years, the reserve encompasses Atlantic coastal marshes, islands, tidal creeks, and the estuaries of the St. Johns and Nassau Rivers. Besides traces of Indian life, remains of Spanish, French and English colonial ventures can be found as well as Southern plantation life and 19th century military activities.

<u>Threat</u>: The preserve was established to protect certain wetlands and historic sites in the St. Johns River Valley. Acquisition is necessary to prevent the loss of natural, historical and cultural resources.

Need: For fiscal year 2002, \$1,000,000 is needed to acquire two tracts containing a total of 260 acres within the preserve boundary. The tracts, located in the Cedar Point area, are undeveloped and wooded in "old growth" oak habitat. The tracts are assigned a high acquisition priority in the land protection plan. Cedar Point, one of the last undeveloped upland tracts, represents one of the few places where visitors can experience both oak hammocks and salt marsh environments. Since the majority of the preserve is aquatic in nature, the Cedar Point area is one of only a few areas having vehicle access. The National Park Service is seeking to develop the southern end of Cedar Point at the location of a former fishing camp. This previously disturbed area would be the site of a boat ramp and visitor contact station.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners:</u> This development of the Cedar Point is a partnership with the city of Jacksonville and others to preserve oak hammocks and expand recreational opportunities. A written and formalized five-agency planning effort has identified the area as a high priority, and the partnership is actively pursuing land acquisition by each member through their unique authorities. The requested \$1,000,000 is seen as the NPS effort, while similar efforts are being conducted by the city of Jacksonville, the State of Florida, the Cedar Bay Cogeneration Power Plant Mitigation Fund, and others, that would hopefully add more than \$2 million.

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Priority: 8

Planned Funding Year: 2001

Funding Source: Line Item Construction

Project Title: Rehabilitate Off-Road Vehicle Trails

Project No: BICY 132 Park Name: Big Cypress National Preserve

Region: Southeast Congressional District: 14 State: Florida

Project Description: This project will provide a designated, stable and sustainable trail system and provide fifteen designated access points for off-road vehicle (ORV) use within Big Cypress National Preserve. It will include providing 400 miles of designated, stabilized trails for ORV use. It will require restoration of approximately 22,000 miles of undesignated trails. It will also require the establishment of fifteen designated access points to enter the trail system. These access points will range in size from an area that will accommodate from ten up to 40 truck/trailer combinations. Trail hardening will range from a limited application of limestone rock over existing limestone cap rock to applications of geotextile fabric with a limestone rock cover through areas where existing soil is over one foot in depth. Trails will range from ten to twelve feet wide and all trail beds will NOT extend above existing grade in order to maintain natural hydrological flow. Because of the sensitive circumstances surrounding the establishment of a designated trail system, the park is leasing specialized equipment and hiring temporary staff to assist the maintenance roads and trail crew in this restoration effort. This will ensure no further deterioration of the resource during this trail designation and restoration project.

Project Justification: Off-Road Vehicle use in the preserve is resulting in significant resource damage. The damage consists of disturbed hydrological (sheet water) flow and potential loss of critical habitat for 70 plants and 34 animals recognized as threatened or endangered species. The uncontrolled use of ORVs has resulted in scarring of the natural areas of the preserve and creates potential danger for wildlife throughout. Litigation has accelerated the need for the establishment of a designated trail system in the preserve. This project will focus use in specific units and on designated trails and direct use away from those areas that are most sensitive.

Ranking Categories

0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	30% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance		
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance		
50% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement		
20% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:	NO: X Total Project Score: 590		

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	4,400,000	80	Appropriated to Date:	\$500,000
Capital Improvement Work:	1,100,000	20	Requested in FY 2002 Budget:	\$5,000,000
Total Project Estimate:	5,500,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002 :	\$5,000,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$5,500,000
Class of Estimate: C			Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 11, 2001

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET		Priority: 51			
		Planned Funding Year: 2002			
		Funding Source: Line Item Construction			
Project Title: Structural Investigation and Stabiliz		ation of Stiltsville Str	uctures		
Project No: BISC 150	Park Name: Biscayne National Park				
Region: Southeast	Congressio	nal District: 20	State: Florida		

Project Description: Funding proposed would be used to perform structural analysis, emergency stabilization and interim repairs as needed to prevent structural failures. Currently the structures range in condition as previously determined by November 2000 investigation. Some should be looked at closer so that floor load capacities can be developed and repairs accomplished. Some failures are easily identified while others need to be closely examined. Without this work the deterioration will be accelerated by storms and unauthorized use. In some instances piers are in hazardous condition with decking deteriorated from rot, insects, or improper loading. If this level of analysis and repairs is not accomplished, whole structural components would be lost and quite possibly whole structures.

Project Justification: These structures play an important part in the park's history right after the turn of the century. The local community has an interest in maintaining as much of these structures as they can so that the local history can be told. The park is looking to use these structures as other tools for interpretive and volunteer programs. Once the structural assessment is completed the park would be able to identify which structures can be salvaged.

Ranking Categories

0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	50% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance		
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance		
50% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement		
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:	NO: X Total Project Score: 550		

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	2,000,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	0	0	Requested in FY Budget: 2002	\$2,000,000
Total Project Estimate:	2,000,000	100	Planned Funding FY: 2002	\$2,000,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$2,000,000
Class of Estimate: C		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001	

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	2nd/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 11, 2001

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Priority: 6

Planned Funding Year: 2002

Funding Source: Line Item Construction

Project Title: Modify Water Delivery System

Project No: EVER 193 Park Name: Everglades National Park

Region: Southeast Congressional Districts: 19, 20 State: Florida

Project Description: This project involves construction of modifications to the Central and Southern Florida Project (C&SF) water management system and related operational changes to provide improved water deliveries to Everglades National Park. The project includes water control structures to restore more natural hydrologic conditions within Everglades National Park and a flood mitigation system. Planned features will be implemented by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) with the concurrence of the National Park Service and the non-Federal sponsor, the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). Consistent with the cost-sharing provisions of the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989 (1989 Act), project construction will be Federally funded, and in accordance with the Corps's General Design Memorandum for Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, the Federal Government will provide 75 percent of operating and maintenance costs, with the South Florida Water Management District assuming responsibility for the remaining 25 percent. Additional project coordination is provided by quarterly meetings of the NPS, the Corps, the Fish and Wildlife Service, and the SFWMD. The authorized project consists of structural features with the intended purpose of restoring conveyance between water conservation areas north of Everglades National Park and the Shark River Slough within the park. The original authorization also allowed for the construction of flood mitigation features for the 8.5 Square Mile Area (a residential area adjacent to the park expansion boundary in East Everglades). Based on recent decisions and additional information, the Modified Water Deliveries Project design is being altered. The project consists of four components: Conveyance, 8.5 Square Mile Area, Tamiami Trail, and Seepage Control.

- 1. The conveyance portion of the project consists of: (a) water control structures in the L-67 A/C canal and levee to discharge water from Water Conservation Area 3A (WCA3A) and Water Conservation Area 3B (WCA3B), (b) water control structures in the L-29 canal to discharge water from WCA3B into Northeast Shark River Slough and, (c) removal of the existing levee and canal that runs along part of the park's original eastern boundary and cuts across the center of Shark River Slough (L-67 extension canal and levee). Structures contained in the original design document for the project included gated culverts, headwall water control structures, and weir-type spillways; discharge, intake, and bypass canals; containment, interceptor, and tie-back levees. These project features are currently being reevaluated in the context of the structural and operational features identified as part of the Central and South Florida Comprehensive Review Study (Restudy). A revised project management plan was approved.
- 2. The current authorized flood mitigation components for the 8.5 Square Mile Area include the construction of an exterior levee, seepage canal and interior berm extending along the northern and western perimeters of the area. Two pump stations were also specified to transfer the seepage water from this system to Northeast Shark River Slough. Based on a recent hydrologic and economic analysis, the local sponsor (SFWMD) will choose a Locally Preferred Option (LPO) to the authorized mitigation plan. The Corps of Engineers is currently in the process of preparing a planning decision document to be integrated with a supplemental environmental impact statement for the LPO recommended by the SFWMD. Under the recommended alternative, the "8.5 Square Mile Area" component of the Modified Water Deliveries Project includes the combination of land acquisition and flowage easements in conjunction with the structural components needed to attain flood mitigation for the 8.5 square mile residential area adjacent to the park expansion boundary in East Everglades. The Department is still reviewing

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance

living in the area and avoiding condemnation of land.

- 3. The Tamiami Trail, under the authorized project, would be raised over only a short distance to accommodate the flows based on the original design of the conveyance features discussed above. Based on improved hydrological information, it is now anticipated that up to a 10-mile length of the road would need to be raised two feet to accommodate the anticipated increased volumes of water. The Corps is preparing a Post Authorization Change Report and associated NEPA for the Corps to address Tamiami Trail.
- 4. Project features associated with items (1)-(3) have the potential to increase seepage losses from the restored wetland areas into both the L-30 and L-31N canals. Seepage control structures were incorporated in the original design as part of the design of pump stations S-356 and S-357. Design features will be identified to control seepage from both Water Conservation Area 3B and from Northeast Shark Slough.

Project Justification: Research conducted in Everglades National Park has documented substantial declines in the natural resources of the area associated with the impacts of water management. Since the park is located at the downstream terminus of a larger water management system, water supply to the park is often in conflict with the other functions of the system, such as water supply and flood control. The operation of the overall C&SF Project to accomplish its multi-objective mandates has impacted the distribution, timing, volumes, and quality of water supplied to the park. The project will continue to fund some of the critically needed modifications to the existing water management system. If unfunded or improperly designed and constructed, the damaging effects will be continued contribution to the decline of the ecosystem, including potential extinction of endangered species such as the Cape Sable Sparrow and Wood Stork.

Ranking Categories

0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance			
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance			
0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement			
100% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement				
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: X N	O: Total Project Score: 600			

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:			Appropriated to Date:	\$124,963,000 *
Capital Improvement Work:	190,890,000**	100	Requested in FY 2002 Budget:	\$19,199,000
Total Project Estimate:	190,890,000**	100	Planned Funding FY 2002:	\$19,199,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$26,728,000
			Total:	\$190,890,000**
Class of Estimate: C			Estimate Good Until:	Oct. 2001

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	1st/2002		
Project Complete:			Last Updated: April 11, 2001

^{*} This amount does not count the \$1.389 million of the FY 1999 appropriation directed by Congress to be used for the reorganization of the National Park Service's Construction Program. It includes the \$50 million of Land Acquisition funds directed to the Corps of Engineers (COE) in the FY 2001 appropriation act for COE land acquisition connected to this project, and the \$3.796 million that the Secretary of the Interior could transfer from the NPS Land Acquisition account to the NPS Construction account for work on this package.

^{**} Total project estimate includes \$20 million requested in the FY 2002 NPS Land Acquisition and State Assistance Appropriation.

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Priority: 28

Planned Funding Year: 2002

Funding Source: Line Item Construction

Project Title: Flamingo Wastewater System Improvement

Project No: EVER 191A Park Name: Everglades National Park

Region: Southeast Congressional District: 20 State: Florida

Project Description: This project involves replacement and improvement of the existing 135,000 gallons per day extended aeration Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) and upgrading of the collection/disposal systems to bring them into compliance with Florida Department of Environmental Protection regulations. A Value Analysis determined that the best alternative in addressing the issues at hand includes: (1) the construction of a new 90,000 gallons per day package plant designed to remove nutrients as required, (2) the demolition of the existing plant, (3) dredging Eco Pond of accumulated sludge, and (4) testing and repairing deteriorated wastewater system collection piping.

Project Justification: The Flamingo Wastewater Treatment Plant is located within one of the largest mangrove ecosystems in the western hemisphere. The surrounding environment is naturally nutrient poor. The WWTP discharges into a manmade percolation pond (Eco Pond), consequently the effluent quality has a direct impact on the surrounding, fragile environment. Due to groundwater flow, nutrients discharged by the WWTP also have the potential to impact Florida Bay. The existing wastewater treatment plant has been unable to consistently meet the currently permitted maximum discharge level of 12 mg/L-N for nitrate. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection has consequently informed the park that corrective actions are required to address this continuing violation. The permitted discharge requirements will become even more stringent in the near future, lowering the permitted nitrate (and total nitrogen) discharge level and adding another (stringent) phosphorus limit. Other improvements that will occur as a result of this work include meeting EPA Class III reliability and redundancy standards, and the raising of plant structures to at least 11 feet above mean sea level to avoid flooding during hurricane events.

Ranking Categories

0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance		
80% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance		
0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement		
20% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:	NO: X Total Project Score: 840		

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	0	0	Appropriated to Date:	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	4,192,000	100	Requested in FY 2002 Budget:	\$4,192,000
Total Project Estimate:	4,192,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002:	\$4,192,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$4,192,000
Class of Estimate: C			Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 11, 2001

GEORGIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	Changes	Estimate
02 Andersonville NHS	902,000	922,000	24,000	0	946,000
00 Appalachian NST	886,000	893,000	9,000	142,000	1,044,000
* Chattahoochee River NRA	2,272,000	2,658,000	49,000	0	2,707,000
09 Chickamauga and					
Chattanooga NMP	2,138,000	2,174,000	52,000	0	2,226,000
01 Cumberland Island NS	1,714,000	1,749,000	47,000	0	1,796,000
01 Fort Frederica NM	613,000	615,000	16,000	0	631,000
01 Fort Pulaski NM	880,000	883,000	22,000	0	905,000
02 Jimmy Carter NHS	667,000	876,000	12,000	0	888,000
06,07 Kennesaw Mountain NBP	966,000	985,000	20,000	0	1,005,000
05 Martin Luther King, Jr NHS	2,380,000	2,457,000	52,000	0	2,509,000
02,08 Ocmulgee NM	643,000	659,000	18,000	0	677,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Chattahoochee River NRA include 05, 06 and 09.

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

GEORGIA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectChattahoochee River NRAOngoing ProjectFort Frederica NMOngoing ProjectFort Pulaski NMOngoing ProjectGullah CultureOngoing StudyMartin Luther King, Jr. NHSPotential New Start

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$883

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$9,842

KENTUCKY

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	Estimate
02 Abraham Lincoln Bthplc NHS	509,000	520,000	16,000	0	536,000
05 Big South Fork Natl River & RA	3,042,000	3,107,000	82,000	0	3,189,000
05 Cumberland Gap NHP	1,749,000	1,794,000	52,000	0	1,846,000
02 Mammoth Cave NP	5,178,000	5,532,000	199,000	0	5,731,000

KENTUCKY

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park AreaRemarksFundsCumberland Gap NHP10 acres\$100

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

Park AreaProject TitleFundsMammoth Cave NPImprove access to Environmental Institute\$1,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$811

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$6,667

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Cumberland Gap National Historical Park

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 30

Location: On the Wilderness Road through Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia

<u>States/Counties/Congressional Districts</u>:

State of Kentucky/Bell and Harlan Counties/Congressional District No.5 State of Tennessee/Claiborne County/Congressional District No.4 Commonwealth of Virginia/Lee County/Congressional District No.9

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: None. However, the over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	10	\$100,000
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: Cumberland Gap National Historical Park was authorized by the Act of June 11, 1940, to consist of lands in certain historic areas within Bell and Harlan Counties, Kentucky; Claiborne County, Tennessee; and Lee County, Virginia. The act authorized acquisition only by donation and purchase with donated funds; the purchase of lands with appropriated funds was not permitted. The act of October 26, 1974 revised the boundary of the park to include an additional 60 acres and authorized appropriations not to exceed \$427,500 to acquire the lands so added.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The park protects and preserves the passageway through the Appalachian Mountains that ushered settlers to "the Old West."

<u>Threat</u>: The Act of November 12, 1996 (Public Law 104-333), authorized the acquisition, by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange, of up to ten acres outside the park boundary. When acquired, such lands are to be included in the park boundary. The lands are necessary to establish trailheads at White Rock and Chadwell Gap.

<u>Need</u>: For fiscal year 2002, funds in the amount of \$100,000 are needed to acquire three tracts containing a total of ten acres. The tracts are needed to provide trailheads and public parking facilities as authorized by Public Law 104-333.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The acquisition for trail and parking purposes is supported by the local community. The landowners are amenable to selling the tracts and have been supportive of Federal acquisition. No appraisals have been obtained, nor have negotiations been initiated.

LOUISIANA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	Changes	Changes	Estimate
05 Cane River Creole NHP	766,000	679,000	22,000	0	701,000
* Jean Lafitte NHP & Preserve	4,364,000	4,447,000	114,000	0	4,561,000
02 New Orleans Jazz NHP	523,000	528,000	11,000	0	539,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Jean Lafitte NHP & Preserve include 02, 03, 06 and 07.

LOUISIANA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaType of ProjectFundsCane River Creole NHPPreserve/stabilize Oakland Plantation structures\$1,983

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$820

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,039

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Priority: 33

Planned Funding Year: 2002

Funding Source: Line Item Construction

Project Title: Preserve and Stabilize Oakland Plantation Structures

Project No: CARI 106 Park Name: Cane River Creole National Historical Park

Region: Southeast Congressional District: 04 State: Louisiana

Project Description: This project is required to preserve, stabilize, rehabilitate, and prepare for visitor use 23 historic structures and the cultural landscape at Oakland Plantation Unit of Cane River Creole National Historical Park. The period of significance is from the antebellum period up to the 1940s. Cane River Creole National Historical Park includes two nationally significant plantations. Preservation treatments are required for these structures which received many years of neglect and insect infestation under private ownership. Public access to the buildings is highly restricted or not permitted. The buildings harbor vermin, poisonous snakes and insects, and they potentially harbor diseases resulting from vermin infestation including hantavirus, histoplasmosis and other diseases. These cultural resources are nationally significant to telling the story of Creole life and architecture and the total story of life on the plantation (small communities) in the rural south.

Project Justification: The level of preservation treatments required for these structures varies from stabilization, preservation, and rehabilitation. Basic preservation treatments need to be performed for these structures to protect, repair, and restore missing, detached, weather damage, and deteriorated architectural elements; rodent infestation; and insect infestation/damage. Rehabilitation would involve providing additional services such as electricity, lighting protection, structural repairs, new/improved foundations, painting or whitewashing, and reestablishing missing features. This work would also make the structures accessible thus enhancing the visitor experience as part of the interpretive program. Without the project, park operations would continue with tents to shelter maintenance and shop equipment, and visitor services would continue to be primitive and highly restricted for health and safety reasons.

Ranking Categories

25% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
10% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
50% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement
15% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO	D: X Total Project Score: 780

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
<u> </u>	Ψ			
Deferred Maintenance Work:	1,487,250	75	Appropriated to Date:	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	495,750	25	Requested in FY Budget 2002:	\$1,983,000
Total Project Estimate:	1,983,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002:	\$1,983,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$1,983,000
Class of Estimate: C			Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2001		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001

MISSISSIPPI

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	Changes	Estimate
05 Gulf Islands NS	4,866,000	5,660,000	147,000	0	5,807,000
04 Natchez NHP	1,486,000	1,510,000	30,000	0	1,540,000
* Natchez Trace NST	26,000	26,000	0	0	26,000
* Natchez Trace Pkwy,					
Brices Xrds, Tupelo NB	8,029,000	9,318,000	191,000	0	9,509,000
02 Vicksburg NMP	1,999,000	2,320,000	52,000	0	2,372,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Natchez Trace NST and for Natchez Trace Pkwy include 01, 02, 03 and 04.

MISSISSIPPI

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park Area	Remarks	Funds
Gulf Islands NS	365 acres	\$2,000

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	Funds
Natchez Trace Parkway	Parkway rehabilitation - phase 1	\$3,768
Natchez Trace Parkway	Parkway rehabilitation - phase 2	\$910
Natchez Trace Parkway	Construct parkway, pave I55 to I20	\$16,500

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$736

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$6,036

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Gulf Islands National Seashore

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 15

Location: Vicinity of Gulf Breeze, Florida, and Ocean Springs, Mississippi

States/Counties/Congressional Districts:

State of Florida/Escambia, Okaloosa and Santa Rosa Counties/Congressional District No. 1

State of Mississippi/Harrison and Jackson Counties/Congressional District No. 5

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: Public Law 106-554 authorized the appropriation of sums necessary to acquire land and submerged land on and adjacent to Cat Island, Mississippi.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	365	\$2,000,000
Future Funding Need	929	\$3,000,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: Gulf Islands National Seashore was authorized on January 8, 1971, to preserve for public use and enjoyment certain areas of the Gulf Coast islands and mainland, possessing outstanding natural, historic, and recreational values. The seashore's land protection plan assigns highest priority to the acquisition of tracts on Horn Island designated as potential wilderness additions. Public Law 106-554 authorized the acquisition, from willing sellers only, of lands and interests comprising the 2,000-acre Cat Island in the State of Mississippi. Lands and interests so acquired are to be included within the boundary of Gulf Islands National Seashore.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: Offshore islands have sparkling white sand beaches, historic forts, and nature trails. Mainland features of this unit, which is located near Pensacola, include the Naval Live Oaks Reservation, beaches, and military forts.

<u>Threat</u>: Acquisition and protection of these barrier islands is necessary to prevent recreational and residential development that would threaten the resources of the national seashore.

<u>Need</u>: For fiscal year 2002, \$2,000,000 are needed to acquire four tracts totaling 365 acres at the national seashore. The funds will be used (1) to continue acquisition of Cat Island with the acquisition of a 140-acre tract, and (2) to acquire the remaining interest in three tracts totaling 225 acres on Horn Island within the national seashore boundary. The United States presently owns an undivided half interest in the Horn Island tracts. If the owner of the remaining interest does not sell to the United States, partition and development of the property is likely to occur. The acquisition of the Cat Island property will be phased over several years. For fiscal year 2001, \$4,000,000 was appropriated to commence acquisition of the property.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The owners of these properties are willing sellers. Negotiations to purchase the Cat Island tract have been ongoing for over a year. The Service has recently ordered an appraisal of Cat Island and has obtained an appraisal of the Horn Island interests to be acquired. The appraisal is scheduled for review by the Service's Washington Office in the March 2001.

NORTH CAROLINA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
00 Appalachian NST	886,000	893,000	9,000	142,000	1,044,000
* Blue Ridge Parkway	12,309,000	12,784,000	307,000	0	13,091,000
03 Cape Hatteras NS, Ft Raleigh					
NHS, Wright Bros NMem	5,931,000	6,050,000	159,000	0	6,209,000
03 Cape Lookout NS	1,316,000	1,342,000	40,000	0	1,382,000
11 Carl Sandburg Home NHS	769,000	914,000	24,000	0	938,000
11 Great Smoky Mountains NP	13,259,000	14,747,000	455,000	0	15,202,000
06 Guilford Courthouse NMP	581,000	592,000	13,000	0	605,000
01,03 Moores Creek NB	347,000	356,000	9,000	0	365,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Blue Ridge Parkway include 05, 10 and 11.

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

NORTH CAROLINA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectBlue Ridge ParkwayPotential New StartCarl Sandburg Home NHSOngoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Blue Ridge Parkway	200 acres	\$1,444
Guilford Courthouse NMP	9 acres	\$800

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	Type of Project	Funds
Blue Ridge Parkway	Rehab/replace guardrails	\$3,796
Cape Hatteras NS	Relocate lighthouse; construct day-use facility	\$12,000

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

Park Area	Project Title	Funds
Blue Ridge Parkway	Repair Twin Tunnel #1 - section 2N	\$200
Blue Ridge Parkway	Resurface parkway road - section 2V	\$4,255
Blue Ridge Parkway	Bridge/tunnel preventive maintenance	\$50
Blue Ridge Parkway	Resurface parkway, MP 330-344	\$800
Blue Ridge Parkway	Lickstone/Bunches Bald tunnels	\$670
Blue Ridge Parkway	Rehab paved waterways	\$360
Cape Hatteras NS	Replace highway culverts parkwide	\$145
Cape Hatteras NS	Overlay Bodie Road/parking	\$82

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$899

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$9,539

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Blue Ridge Parkway

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 28

<u>Location</u>: Along the crest of the Blue Ridge Mountains between Shenandoah National Park in Virginia and Great Smoky Mountains National Park in North Carolina and Tennessee.

<u>State/County/Congressional District</u>: States of North Carolina and Virginia/Multiple Counties and Congressional Districts

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation on appropriations for land acquisition.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	200	\$1,444,000
Future Funding Need	8,692	\$22,556,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential and agricultural.

<u>Description</u>: The act of June 30, 1936, established Blue Ridge Parkway both to link Shenandoah National Park with Great Smoky Mountains National Park by means of a scenic parkway and to conserve and interpret the natural and cultural resources of the Southern Appalachian Mountains.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: This scenic parkway averages 3,000 feet above sea level and embraces several large recreational and natural history areas and Appalachian cultural sites.

<u>Threat</u>: Privately owned lands along the parkway's scenic corridor have high development potential for subdivision and residential construction.

<u>Need</u>: The funds requested in FY 2002 are needed to acquire seven tracts containing a total of 200 acres at the parkway. The owners of the tracts have expressed an interest in selling to the United States. Acquisition is necessary (1) to control or extinguish seven private, deed-reserved roads with direct access to the parkway, and (2) to maintain the scenic vista along the parkway.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: For three of the tracts proposed for acquisition, appraisals have been completed and negotiations with the owners are ongoing. The last contact with the owner of the remaining three tracts, which are being offered for sale on the open market, was in December 2000. The owners are all interested in selling their lands within the parkway boundary and have no opposition to the National Park Service buying them.

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Guilford Courthouse National Military Park

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY2002): Priority No. 26

Location: Near Greensboro, North Carolina.

State/County/Congressional District: State of North Carolina/Guilford County/Congressional District No. 6

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Remaining</u>: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	9	\$800,000
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: The Act of March 2, 1917, established Guilford Courthouse National Military Park. The act authorized both the acceptance of a deed of conveyance from the Guilford Battleground Company embracing 125 acres and the acquisition of adjacent lands as necessary.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The battle fought on March 15, 1781, opened the campaign that led to Yorktown. The loss of substantial numbers of British troops at the battle contributed to the American victory seven months later.

<u>Threat</u>: Acquisition is necessary to prevent imminent commercial development that would destroy the historical integrity of the national military park.

<u>Need</u>: Funds in the amount of \$800,000 are needed in fiscal year 2002 to acquire two tracts containing nine acres. The tracts proposed for acquisition are located within the park boundary and comprise the site of historically significant Revolutionary War military action.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The owners of the tracts to be acquired are willing sellers. The tracts have been optioned by The Conservation Fund (TCF). The Service has sent a Letter of Intent to TCF stating the Service's intent to reimburse TCF subject to the availability of funds and an approved appraisal. Local editorials in two newspapers, television and radio coverage have all strongly urged the NPS to protect this threatened battlefield. Partnering with the NPS in these protective efforts are the Piedmont Land Conservancy, the Guilford Battleground Company, and The Conservation Fund.

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET		Priority: 14			
		Planned Funding Y	7ear: 2002		
	Funding Source: Line Item Construction				
Project Title: Rehabilitate and Replace Deficient (Guardrails			
Project No: BLRI 159	Park Name: Blue Ridge Parkway				
Region: Southeast	Congression	nal Districts: 10, 11	State: North Carolina		
Project Description: Funding pro	posed would	be used to repair	damaged guardrails and replace unsafe.		

Project Description: Funding proposed would be used to repair damaged guardrails and replace unsafe, substandard guardrails with standard guardrails; add guardrails where unsafe conditions exist with no protection for motorists; and replace guide rails which were originally installed during construction, but have not been replaced with standard guardrails since that time. These rails have insufficient structural strength to withstand a vehicle's impact providing a false sense of security, thus creating an extreme hazardous condition. Work involves the replacement of almost 45,000 linear feet of rail along approximately 8.5 miles of heavily traveled parkway.

Project Justification: The parkway is built in steep, mountainous terrain. Road shoulder widths do not meet current standards and shoulders are unsafe without guardrail protection. Guide rails installed at the time of parkway construction do not meet current strength and safety requirements; further, they provide motorists with a false sense of security by their presence. They have little if any structural strength compared to standard guardrails allowing errant vehicles to leave the roadway and fall uncontrollably down adjacent steep slopes. Severe personal injury or death is likely if this occurs. Several accidents have occurred on the parkway allowing the vehicle to descend down steep banks/drop off points etc., jeopardizing the passengers of the vehicle along with the rescue workers. Railings of any sort do not exist in many areas where today's standards require them. Errant vehicles have no protection in these locations and injury or death is probable if a vehicle leaves the road.

Ranking Categories

100% Critical Health or Safety Deferred		0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintena	nce
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0% Compliance & Other Deferred Main	ntenance
0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		0% Other Capital Improvement	
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:	NO:	P: X Total Project Score: 1000	

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	3,796,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	0	0	Requested in FY Budget: 2002	\$3,796,000
Total Project Estimate:	3,796,000	100	Planned Funding FY: 2002	\$3,796,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$3,796,000
Class of Estimate: C		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001	

Dates (Otr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	2nd/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Priority: 13

Planned Funding Year: 2002

Funding Source: Line Item Construction

Project Title: Relocate Lighthouse and Construct Day-Use Beach Facility (Completion)

Project No: CAHA 227 Park Name: Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Region: Southeast | Congressional District: 03 | State: North Carolina

Project Description: Funds requested would be used to complete Phase III of the Cape Hatteras Lighthouse Relocation Project by constructing a new visitor contact station adjacent to the relocated historic district, and a day-use beach facility near the former lighthouse site. The visitor contact station will consist of a 1600-sq-ft. building that includes a visitor information desk, sales area, sale storage area, alarm system, air curtain, and fire sprinkler system. Adjoining the contact station will be wood decking, a 1024-sq-ft. structure for interpretive programs and paved parking spaces for staff. The beach facility will include restrooms, exterior showers and changing areas on a raised deck area, and storage for lifeguard equipment.

Justification: Annual seashore visitation is 2.5 million and the adjacent Cape Hatter-as Light Station Historic District is visited by approximately 300,000 people each year. After visiting the lighthouse, many of these visitors use the adjacent day-use lifeguard-staffed beach area for family swimming, surfing, and other beach activities. The lighthouse was moved in 1999 because of danger of collapse due to the severe shoreline erosion, averaging 10 feet annually, and because of vulnerability to flooding and ocean overwash. The children's environmental education component of the facility will take advantage of the gathering of family units to serve the interpretive mission of the Service. Without the day-use beach facility, recreational visitors will continue to overburden the historic district and its facilities. Failure to construct the day-use beach facility will lead to the loss of the existing facilities to erosion, cause visitors to create their own parking lots to gain access to the beach, and contribute to overuse and adverse impacts to the historic district.

Ranking Categories

0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance		
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance		
0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	100% Other Capital Improvement		
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:	NO: X Total Project Score: 100		

Project Cost and Status

Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	0	0	Appropriated to Date:	\$10,827,000
Capital Improvement Work:	12,000,000	100	Requested in FY 2002 Budget:	\$1,173,000
Total Project Estimate:	12,000,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002:	\$1,173,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$12,000,000
Class of Estimate: B			Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2002		
Project Complete:			Last Updated: April 12, 2001

PUERTO RICO

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	<u>Enacted</u>	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
00 San Juan NHS	2,281,000	2,438,000	100,000	0	2,538,000

PUERTO RICO

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectBioluminescent BaysOngoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$633

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$5,058

SOUTH CAROLINA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
01 Charles Pinckney NHS	448,000	455,000	9,000	0	464,000
06 Congaree Swamp NM	724,000	731,000	11,000	0	742,000
05 Cowpens NB	404,000	414,000	12,000	0	426,000
01 Fort Sumter NM	1,164,000	1,671,000	36,000	0	1,707,000
05 Kings Mountain NMP	581,000	666,000	18,000	0	684,000
03 Ninety Six NHS	292,000	298,000	7,000	0	305,000

SOUTH CAROLINA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectGullah CultureOngoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park AreaRemarksFundsFort Sumter NM1 acre\$1,750

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$746

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$6,426

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Fort Sumter National Monument

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 9

Location: On Sullivan's Island in Charleston Harbor

State/County/Congressional District: State of South Carolina/Charleston County/Congressional District No. 1

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	1	\$1,750,000
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: The first engagement of the Civil War took place here on April 12, 1861. The park also embraces Fort Moultrie, scene of the Patriot victory of June 28, 1776 – one of the early defeats of the British in the Revolutionary War.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The national monument contains the sites, structures, and archeological resources associated with significant events of both the Civil War and the Revolutionary War.

<u>Threat</u>: Development of non-Federal property at the national monument would threaten the historic integrity of the site. Section 344 of Public Law 105-83, the act making appropriations for the Department of the Interior for fiscal year 1998, stated the sense of the Senate that "...Congress should give special priority to the preservation of Civil War battlefields by making funds available for the purchase of threatened and endangered Civil War battlefield sites."

<u>Need</u>: Funds in the amount of \$1,750,000 are needed in fiscal year 2002 to acquire the remaining interest in a 1.25-acre tract currently protected by an easement. However, the terms of the existing easement permit construction and operation of a restaurant on the site adjacent to the boat dock used by the public to visit Fort Sumter. Federal acquisition of the remaining interest will permit the Service to retain the tract as open space.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The owner is willing to sell the property. The Service has obtained an appraisal of the property. Discussions with the owner are ongoing. The mayor of Charleston, South Carolina, supports this acquisition of land for open space at the dock site because the city is planning to put a monument in this area.

TENNESSEE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	Changes	Estimate
01 Andrew Johnson NHS	488,000	499,000	13,000	0	512,000
00 Appalachian NST	886,000	893,000	9,000	142,000	1,044,000
03,04 Big South Fork Natl River & RA	3,042,000	3,107,000	82,000	0	3,189,000
03 Chickamauga and					
Chattanooga NMP	2,138,000	2,174,000	52,000	0	2,226,000
04 Cumberland Gap NHP	1,749,000	1,794,000	52,000	0	1,846,000
08 Fort Donelson NB	847,000	867,000	24,000	0	891,000
01,02 Great Smoky Mountains NP	13,259,000	14,747,000	455,000	0	15,202,000
* Natchez Trace NST	26,000	26,000	0	0	26,000
* Natchez Trace Pkwy,					
Brices Xrds, Tupelo NB	8,029,000	9,318,000	191,000	0	9,509,000
03,04 Obed Wild & Scenic River	393,000	398,000	10,000	195,000	603,000
04 Shiloh NMP	1,064,000	1,217,000	30,000	0	1,247,000
06 Stones River NB	644,000	661,000	19,000	132,000	812,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Natchez Trace NST and for Natchez Trace Pkwy include 04, 05, 06 and 07.

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

TENNESSEE

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park Area	<u>Remarks</u>	Funds
Cumberland Gap NHP	10 acres	\$100

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park Area	Type of Project	Funds
Great Smoky Mountains NP	Replace science facilities	\$4,703

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<u>Park Area</u>	Project Title	Funds
Fort Donelson NB	Rehab park roads	\$920
Great Smoky Mountains NP	Resurface Laurel Creek/Treemont	\$3,302
Shiloh NMP	Replace Dill Branch Creek Bridge	\$1,200

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$827

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,797

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Cumberland Gap National Historical Park

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 30

Location: On the Wilderness Road through Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia

<u>States/Counties/Congressional Districts</u>:

State of Kentucky/Bell and Harlan Counties/Congressional District No.5 State of Tennessee/Claiborne County/Congressional District No.4 Commonwealth of Virginia/Lee County/Congressional District No.9

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: None. However, the over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	10	\$100,000
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: Cumberland Gap National Historical Park was authorized by the Act of June 11, 1940, to consist of lands in certain historic areas within Bell and Harlan Counties, Kentucky; Claiborne County, Tennessee; and Lee County, Virginia. The act authorized acquisition only by donation and purchase with donated funds; the purchase of lands with appropriated funds was not permitted. The act of October 26, 1974 revised the boundary of the park to include an additional 60 acres and authorized appropriations not to exceed \$427,500 to acquire the lands so added.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The park protects and preserves the passageway through the Appalachian Mountains that ushered settlers to "the Old West."

<u>Threat</u>: The Act of November 12, 1996 (Public Law 104-333), authorized the acquisition, by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange, of up to ten acres outside the park boundary. When acquired, such lands are to be included in the park boundary. The lands are necessary to establish trailheads at White Rock and Chadwell Gap.

<u>Need</u>: For fiscal year 2002, funds in the amount of \$100,000 are needed to acquire three tracts containing a total of ten acres. The tracts are needed to provide trailheads and public parking facilities as authorized by Public Law 104-333.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The acquisition for trail and parking purposes is supported by the local community. The landowners are amenable to selling the tracts and have been supportive of Federal acquisition. No appraisals have been obtained, nor have negotiations been initiated.

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Priority: 49

Planned Funding Year: 2002

Funding Source: Line Item Construction

Project Title: Replace Inadequate Science Facilities

Project No: GRSM 349 Park Name: Great Smoky Mountains National Park

Region: Southeast Congressional District: 01 State: Tennessee

Project Description: Funds requested would be used to construct a science building to replace an inadequate lab facility, storage for natural history collections, work/lab space for the growing number of science partners and space for environmental education. The park is beset by severe air quality problems, several serious exotic forest insects and diseases, exotic fish, wild hogs, twenty-five species of invasive alien pest plants, boundary urbanization, and other significant threats. We must have comprehensive information on natural resources, but are prevented from utilizing the many partners who offer assistance by a lack of work/lab space. Also lack room for expanding specimen collections and environmental education, all in proximity to science functions.

Project Justification: This project has been part of the approved general management plan since 1982. The need to fund this project is now urgent. At high elevations for example, there are many square miles of devastated forest, resulting in a lost of species in the park before they can be documented. A December 1997 conference of over 100 scientists offered to re-direct their research and to recruit others to assist us in the long-term. The principal obstacle is that we have no work/lab/dorm space, and that we need to consolidate the Park's science, and natural history collections and portions of the environmental education functions. The <u>Friends of Great Smoky Mountains National Park</u> have pledged that they will raise funds for the dormitory construction effort needed for visiting scientists to use this science building as funded.

Ranking Categories

0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	35% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
50% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement
15% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:	NO: X Total Project Score: 580

Project Cost and Status

110jeet cost una status				
Project Cost Estimate	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	3,998,000	85	Appropriated to Date:	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	705,000	15	Requested in FY 2002 Budget:	\$4,703,000
Total Project Estimate:	4,703,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002:	\$4,703,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$4,703,000
Class of Estimate: C			Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001

Dates (Qtr/Year)

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4 th /2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	Changes	<u>Estimate</u>
00 Christiansted NHS					
& Buck Island Reef NM	949,000	1,250,000	16,000	0	1,266,000
00 Salt River Bay NHP & Ecol Pres	309,000	485,000	1,000	0	486,000
00 Virgin Islands NP	3,730,000	4,782,000	82,000	0	4,864,000

VIRGIN ISLANDS

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park AreaRemarksFundsChristiansted NHS1 acre\$900

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

Park AreaProject TitleFundsVirgin Islands NPConstruct stone masonry guard wall\$240

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$418

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$159

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Christiansted National Historic Site

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 14

Location: City of Christiansted, Island of Saint Croix, United States Virgin Islands

State/County/Congressional District: U. S. Virgin Islands/Island of Saint Croix/Congressional Delegate

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	1	\$900,000
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Commercial structures

<u>Description</u>: Originally designated as Virgin Islands National Historic Site in 1952, the site was renamed in 1961.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: Urban colonial development of the Virgin Islands is commemorated by 18th - and 19th - century structures in the heart of the capital of the former Danish West Indies on Saint Croix Island.

<u>Threat</u>: Acquisition of a downtown building is necessary to protect significant historic resources at the park. The building, known as the Danish West Indies and Guinea Company Warehouse, is the only intact slave trading post on the eastern seaboard of the United States. The property is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The property is presently owned and administered by the United States Postal Service (USPS), which intends to sell the property and currently has it listed with a realtor. Acquisition of this tract by the National Park Service would ensure preservation of the structure and proper interpretation of its historical significance.

<u>Need</u>: The requested funds will be used to acquire the Danish West Indies and Guinea Company Warehouse. The Postal Service has built a new post office and has moved forward with plans to sell the warehouse on the open market. On November 29, 2000, the National Park Service wrote to the Postal Service requesting the donation of the property. The USPS is unwilling to donate the property to the National Park Service.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The USPS is willing to sell the property to the National Park Service. Efforts by the Service to acquire the tract are being supported by The Nature Conservancy and The Trust for Public Land. National Park Service management of the site will create a positive impact on tourism on Saint Croix and the local community welcomes this outcome. The Service has obtained an appraisal of the tract and the requested funds, if appropriated, would permit completion of the acquisition.

VIRGINIA (SER)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

			FY 2002	FY 2002	
Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2002
Distr Park Units	<u>Enacted</u>	Enacted	<u>Changes</u>	Changes	<u>Estimate</u>
* Blue Ridge Parkway	12,309,000	12,784,000	307,000	0	13,091,000
09 Cumberland Gap NHP	1,749,000	1,794,000	52,000	0	1,846,000

^{*} Congressional districts for Blue Ridge Pkwy include 05, 06, 09 and 10.

VIRGINIA (SER)

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS

None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park Area	<u>Remarks</u>	Funds
Blue Ridge Parkway	200 acres	\$1,444
Cumberland Gap NHP	10 acres	\$100

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$873

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$8,684

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Blue Ridge Parkway

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 28

<u>Location</u>: Along the crest of the Blue Ridge Mountains between Shenandoah National Park in Virginia and Great Smoky Mountains National Park in North Carolina and Tennessee.

<u>State/County/Congressional District</u>: States of North Carolina and Virginia/Multiple Counties and Congressional Districts

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation on appropriations for land acquisition.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	200	\$1,444,000
Future Funding Need	8,692	\$22,556,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential and agricultural.

<u>Description</u>: The act of June 30, 1936, established Blue Ridge Parkway both to link Shenandoah National Park with Great Smoky Mountains National Park by means of a scenic parkway and to conserve and interpret the natural and cultural resources of the Southern Appalachian Mountains.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: This scenic parkway averages 3,000 feet above sea level and embraces several large recreational and natural history areas and Appalachian cultural sites.

<u>Threat</u>: Privately owned lands along the parkway's scenic corridor have high development potential for subdivision and residential construction.

Need: The funds requested in FY 2002 are needed to acquire seven tracts containing a total of 200 acres at the parkway. The owners of the tracts have expressed an interest in selling to the United States. Acquisition is necessary (1) to control or extinguish seven private, deed-reserved roads with direct access to the parkway, and (2) to maintain the scenic vista along the parkway.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: For three of the tracts proposed for acquisition, appraisals have been completed and negotiations with the owners are ongoing. The last contact with the owner of the remaining three tracts, which are being offered for sale on the open market, was in December 2000. The owners are all interested in selling their lands within the parkway boundary and have no opposition to the National Park Service buying them.

Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Cumberland Gap National Historical Park

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 30

Location: On the Wilderness Road through Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia

<u>States/Counties/Congressional Districts</u>:

State of Kentucky/Bell and Harlan Counties/Congressional District No.5 State of Tennessee/Claiborne County/Congressional District No.4 Commonwealth of Virginia/Lee County/Congressional District No.9

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: None. However, the over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	10	\$100,000
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None.

<u>Description</u>: Cumberland Gap National Historical Park was authorized by the Act of June 11, 1940, to consist of lands in certain historic areas within Bell and Harlan Counties, Kentucky; Claiborne County, Tennessee; and Lee County, Virginia. The act authorized acquisition only by donation and purchase with donated funds; the purchase of lands with appropriated funds was not permitted. The act of October 26, 1974 revised the boundary of the park to include an additional 60 acres and authorized appropriations not to exceed \$427,500 to acquire the lands so added.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The park protects and preserves the passageway through the Appalachian Mountains that ushered settlers to "the Old West."

<u>Threat</u>: The Act of November 12, 1996 (Public Law 104-333), authorized the acquisition, by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange, of up to ten acres outside the park boundary. When acquired, such lands are to be included in the park boundary. The lands are necessary to establish trailheads at White Rock and Chadwell Gap.

<u>Need</u>: For fiscal year 2002, funds in the amount of \$100,000 are needed to acquire three tracts containing a total of ten acres. The tracts are needed to provide trailheads and public parking facilities as authorized by Public Law 104-333.

<u>Interaction with Landowners and Partners</u>: The acquisition for trail and parking purposes is supported by the local community. The landowners are amenable to selling the tracts and have been supportive of Federal acquisition. No appraisals have been obtained, nor have negotiations been initiated.